

| | | | | |
|---|------------------------|--|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/522,652 | | EPSHTENI ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | | Art Unit | |
| | ILIA OUSPENSKI | | 1644 | |

All Participants:

(1) ILIA OUSPENSKI

(2) EDWARD D. PERGAMENT

Date of Interview: 17 June 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant provided authorization for Examiner's Amendment as set forth in the accompanying Office Action.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/ILIA OUSPENSKI/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1644

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)